

**IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WASHINGTON DC.
A SUB AGENCY OF THE UNITED STATES INC. (DUNS 052714196).
WITH AN ACTING CEO THE HON. JOHN ROBERTS.**

**In the matter of George McDermott
Petitioner**

Vs.

**Kansas Associates et al.
the American Bar Association
And the DOJ plus FBI et al.**

* **Case number 10 – 10236**
* **Jury trial demand denied by all courts**
*
* **Related cases US COA Fed circuit**
* **Case # 26-1526 from the US COFC –**
* **DC 1:25-cv-1398 +**
* **US COA-DC Cir. case # 25 – 5411 and**
* **25-5096 from USDC- DC-case #25- 2222**
* **And originating case 98-cv-1235 (TPJ).**

PETITIONER GEORGE MCDERMOTT’S MOTION/RESPONSE TO SUPREME COURT CLERK SCOTT S HARRIS AND ALLEGED DEPUTY COURT CLERK EMILY WALKER’S LATEST MOST PREPOSTEROUS JUNE 15, 2026 NOTIFICATION TO PETITIONER’S DIRECT REQUEST TO CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN ROBERTS TO ESTABLISH THE COURT LEGAL JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY AS IT APPEARS TO BE OPERATING AS A FOREIGN TOTALITARIAN REGIME UNCONSTITUTIONAL ARM OF THE CORPORATION OF THE UNITED STATES INC. ALONG WITH AGENTS OF FEDERAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM, STATE JUDICIARY, AND OTHER INFERIOR APPELLATE COURTS PROMOTING EFFECTIVE TITLE PROPERTIES, DENIAL OF EQUAL ACCESS TO OUR COURTS, FALSIFICATION OF COURT RECORDS, DESTRUCTION OF COURT RECORDS, FALSIFICATION OF COURT TRANSCRIPTS, THROUGH THE USE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNSIGNED UNVERIFIABLE ORDERS JUDGMENTS AND DECREES PROHIBITED UNDER THE FOLLOWING STATUTES. TO WHICH THIS COURT ENTERED SUPPORTING STAFF ARE NOW SUBVERTING OUR CONSTITUTION AND OUR RULE OF LAW.

Now comes George McDermott through the motion practice of this corporate court. It’s nine justices and alleged 300 support staff at deputy clerks in response to Emily Walker’s forged document of June 15, 2026 incorrectly stating that Under Article III of the Constitution falsely stating. The jurisdiction of the court extends only to the consideration of cases controversies property bought before it from lower courts in accordance with the federal law and pursuant to the rules of this court. [ATT. – 1]. Which is a false and fictitious statement by court officer. Referring back to the May 14, 2026 motion paper illegally returned without consideration [ATT. – 2].

1, On this July 4, 2026 petitioner filed this opposition paper and is returning to the court page 1 of the May 14 filing with this court and now charges the clerk of the court with treason

F/N-1. Each clerk of court and his deputies shall take the following oath or affirmation before entering upon their duties: “I, __ __, having been appointed __, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will truly and faithfully enter and record all orders, decrees, judgments and proceedings of such court, and will faithfully and impartially discharge all other duties of my office according to the best of my abilities and understanding. So help me God.”

against the Constitution and rule of law of our organic Constitution and rule of law. F/N-1.

1. Petitioner asserts that the motion papers returned were returned illegally and without legal jurisdiction or authority from court superiors by deputy clerk Emily Walker. In violation of her oath of office and now makes her an accessory to an after-the-fact to treason against the Constitution and rule of law. And personally liable under the void order judgment doctrine for forgery of court documents motion's pleadings and papers. As a noncitizen of the United States America Inc.. It's [Section 512 of title 28](#), U.S.C., 1940 ed., applied only to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and clerks and deputies of the district courts. Emphasis in the law.

THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT STATES: No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. U.S. Const. amends. XIV

2. As the alleged order from alleged deputy clerk Emily Walker is not accompanied by a electronic verification notification as required under US code 15 USC 7001 et seq. the order is void and has no force and effect and as this clerk's office and the chief deputy clerks allowing his signature to be applied to this document he and his staff are now also engaged in treason against our Constitution and rebellion against the rule of law as the court is fully aware that. Such documents are void and made nullified under the void order judgment doctrine.

A PARTY AFFECTED BY VOID JUDICIAL ACTION NEED NOT APPEAL. State ex rel. Latty, 907 S.W.2d at 486. It is entitled to no respect whatsoever because it does not affect, impair, or create legal rights." Ex parte Spaulding, 687 S.W.2d at 745 (Teague, J.concurring). If an appeal is taken, however, the appellate court may declare void any orders the trial court signed after it lost plenary power over the case, because a void judgment is a nullity from the beginning and is attended by none of the consequences of a valid judgment.

3. As this court has contended lack of jurisdiction and by not addressing any of the contents of the petitioner's arguments requiring an answer to the court's jurisdiction and authority as to whether it is a corporate court, a F/N-2.

F/N-2. **When rule providing for relief from void judgments is applicable, relief is not discretionary matter, but is mandatory,** Omer. V. Shalala, 30 F.3d 1307 (Cob. 1994). This cannot be ignored its fact recorded! Judgment is a void judgment if court that rendered judgment lacked jurisdiction of the subject matter, or of the parties, or acted in a manner inconsistent with due process, Fed. Rules Civ. Proc., Rule 60(b)(4), 28 U.S.C.A., U.S.C.A. Cönst. Amend. 5 —Kiugh v. U.S., 620 F.Supp. 892 (D.S.C. 1985). Emphasis supplied.

court of foreign jurisdiction and authority as outlined in the following statute addressed in the May 14 filing again the document received is void under the void order judgment doctrine and carries no weight or authority required by law. This court has for 20 years refused to establish its jurisdiction and authority and now acts as a court of injustice for most, a court of deceit and deception, a court of secrecy, evasiveness, and party system giving preference to the CEO of the Corporation of the United States and its attorneys over the rule of law and our organic Constitution.

A Party Affected by VOID Judicial Action Need Not APPEAL. State ex rd. Latty, 907 S.W.2d at 486. It is entitled to no respect whatsoever because it does not affect, impair, or create legal rights." Ex parte Spaulding, 687 S.W.2d at 745 (Teague, J.,concurring). If an appeal is taken, however, the appellate court may declare void any orders the trial court signed after it lost plenary power over the case, because a void judgment is a nullity from the beginning and is attended by none of the consequences of a valid judgment.

4. Petitioner now demands and restates questions presented to Chief Justice John Roberts May 14, 2026 and the nine appointed justices of this court and associate judges of this court answer on the record the requested judge for Roberts and demand that they produce the copy of their oath of office signed and countersigned by the President of the United States, a copy of their judicial bond under title 5 USC §§ 2902 – 6 et seq. as well as their authority to issue unsigned unverifiable noncompliant orders through the alleged chief clerk of this court Scott R Harris and alleged deputy clerk Emily Walker along with the 300 other supporting staff of this court who routinely daily dismiss hundreds upon hundreds of cases with forged unsigned unverifiable nonconforming orders judgments and decrees. In noncompliance with their oath of office. As well as fully comply with the following statute law published in United States code as follows. As petitioner does not accept being deceived of the properties by foreign agents acting under color of law and authority committing treason against our Constitution and rule of law with unsigned documents orders judgments and decrees, which appellant and millions of other appellants receive on a daily basis case in fact case Linda R Nash petition for writ of mandamus filed by petitioner June 23rd 2026 from US District Court D.C. Case #1: 23-cv-3134 CJN. F/N-3

F/N-3. Amazingly, we find that the "United States" has incorporated multiple times, that the Whitehouse, House of Representatives, Congress, and Judiciary are all separate CORPORATIONS with their own EINs, and that the Treasury from 1789 is not the same as the current Treasury. Dun & Bradstreet Corporate Listing: ["Government of The United States DBA US Government"](#) (they can't DBA unless they ARE a corporation) [UNITED STATES, INC.](#): 52-1943749, UNITED STATES: 52-2283179, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: 52-1259974, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: 72-0564834. [WHITE HOUSE](#): 52-1413575/ WHITE HOUSE ART GALLERY: 52-0799067. [HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES US](#): 23-7358951 [HOUSE DC INC](#): 30-0117990 (This is the House of Representatives for the DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION) [SENATE UNITED STATES](#): 52-1085802. [UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT COURTS US](#): 52-2283179 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT COURTS US: 23-7420574 emphasis supplied again

5. In closing for the record petitioner moved to vacate and set aside the alleged paper of May 14, 2026 for legal deficiencies and lack of legal jurisdiction as the court has again refused to establish its legal jurisdiction and authority requested by petitioner for the past 20 years the court must now divulge its true legal jurisdiction and authority and its foreign agents assigns and appointees as well. Concurrent with the rule of law their oath of office in the Constitution of the organic United States of America unincorporated. Questions the court must answer before it can proceed any further without committing treason against the Constitution and rule of law. Are these statutes published in the US code valid and enforceable by this court.

5.1 THE REASON WHY THE LAW OF NECESSITY AND FULL DISCLOSURE GOING TO SPECIFICITY COMES INTO PLAY IN COMMERCIAL PROCEEDINGS ONCE YOU'RE SUMMONED INTO ANY OF THESE PRIVATE MERCANTILE CORPORATE COURTS Title 8, 22 & 28 USC December 26th 1933 49 Statute 3097 Treaty Series 881 (Convention on Rights and Duties of States) stated CONGRESS replaced STATUTES with international law, placing all states under international law. Answer required

5.2. December 9th 1945 International Organization Immunities Act relinquished every public office of the United States to the United Nations. 22 CFR 92.12-92.31 FR Heading "Foreign Relationship" states that an oath is required to take office. Mandatory answer required,

5.3. Title 8 USC 1481 stated once an oath of office is taken citizenship is relinquished, thus you become a foreign entity, agency, or state. That means every public office is a foreign state, including all political subdivisions. (i.e. every single court and that courts personnel is considered a separate foreign entity) 28 USC § 1603 – 11. Mandatory answer required.

5.3. Title 28 USC 3002 Section 15A states that the United States is a Federal Corporation and not a Government, including the Judiciary Procedural Section.

5.4. **28 USC 1603. "§ 1603. Definitions "For purposes of this chapter— "**

(a) A 'foreign state', except as used in section 1608 of this title, includes a political subdivision of a foreign state or an agency or instrumentality of a foreign state as defined in subsection

(b). "(b) An 'agency or instrumentality of a foreign state' means any entity— "(1) which is a separate legal person, corporate or otherwise, and "(2) which is an organ of a foreign state or political subdivision thereof, or a majority of whose shares or other ownership interest is owned by a foreign state or political subdivision thereof, and "(3) which is neither a citizen of a State of the United States as defined in section 1332

(c) and (d) of this title, nor created under the laws of any third country. "(c) The 'United States' includes all territory and waters, continental or insular, subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. "

(d) A 'commercial activity' means either a regular course of commercial conduct or a particular commercial transaction or act. The commercial character of an activity shall be determined by reference to the nature of the course of conduct or particular transaction or act, rather than by reference to its purpose. "

(e) A 'commercial activity carried on in the United States by a foreign state' means commercial activity carried on by such state and having substantial contact with the United States. F/N-4.

F/N-4. Rule 902. Evidence That Is Self-Authenticating The following items of evidence are self-authenticating; they require no extrinsic evidence of authenticity in order to be admitted: (1) Domestic Public Documents That Are Sealed and Signed. A document that bears: (A) a seal purporting to be that of the United States; any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States; the former Panama Canal Zone; the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; a political subdivision of any of these entities; a

6. Petitioner prayer for relief. That the court put on abeyance all orders judgments and decrees to and until such time as this court and its nine judicial officers comply with paragraphs 1 through five above it is this fourth day of July 2026 that we the peoples of the United States now demand legal and judicial accountability of the court officers and agents of this court and all inferior courts operating under foreign laws and statutes that have not been authorized by a constitutional amendment allowing the United States of America to be dissolved at a new for-profit corporation trading as the United States Inc. and its affiliates see F/N. 3 offered under the best evidence rule as proof of the official record until the court can produce the required constitutional amendment authorizing this transaction. This court and all inferior courts are engaged in treason against the Constitution and warring against the rule of law, the principles of law and the legal rights and remedies of weed peoples of these United States who had not consented or even been given the right to vote on a constitutional amendment surrendering our rights and liberties to corporate ages as a sign such as John Roberts and his supervisor Donald John Trump acting as the CEO of the Corporation of the United States Inc.

7. Petitioner is bound by God's law the 10 Commandments whatever happened to Dun & Bradstreet Corporate Listing: ["Government of The United States DBA US Government"](#) (they can't DBA unless they ARE a corporation) -E PLURIBUS UNUM- THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: AG 59880464 A (the only non-EIN, found via U.C.C. Filed Documents). Our founding fathers intent that are nation would be overtaken by corporate monopolies. F/N-5.

Respectfully submitted

George Edward McDermott victim of 32 years of legal and judicial terrorism by corporate courts.

F/N-5. America's founders harbored a deep **skepticism toward corporate monopolies** and the potential for **unelected courts to undermine democracy** . They feared that consolidated wealth and massive commercial enterprises—like the British East India Company—**would eventually corrupt both lawmakers and the judiciary**, eroding the republic from within America's founders harbored a **deep skepticism toward corporate monopolies** and the potential for unelected courts to undermine democracy . They feared that consolidated wealth and massive commercial enterprises—like the British East India Company—**would eventually corrupt both lawmakers and the judiciary, eroding the republic from within.**

Attachments in support of motion paper. (1). Alleged unsigned order from this court without jurisdiction issued with deception and deceit and fraud. By an alleged deputy clerk with no electronic signature.

(2). Front page of motion paper filed May 14 by petitioner addressed specifically to Chief Justice John Roberts reporting fraud on the court by court employees and alleged clerks of the court returned illegally.

(3). Associated case of Linda Nash one of the millions of Americans also denied access to this court with forged unsigned documents or electronic verification by clerks committing treason against the Constitution and rule of law.

Certificate of service. Served on the The **Office of the Solicitor General (OSG)** does not directly handle consumer fraud reporting, but general mail correspondence can be sent to [Office of the Solicitor General](#), **950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001**. By certified registered mail article # 9589-0710-5270-2912-6986-55. Reporting waste fraud and abuse, also mailed to major media as of 07/07/26 as postal services operations are suspended July 4 holiday by George McDermott_____

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

June 15, 2026

George E. McDermott
143 N. Huron Dr.
Forest Heights, MD 20745


RE: Documents

Dear Mr. McDermott:

In reply to your letter or submission, received May 14, 2026, I regret to inform you that the Court is unable to assist you in the matter you present.

Under Article III of the Constitution, the jurisdiction of this Court extends only to the consideration of cases or controversies properly brought before it from lower courts in accordance with federal law and filed pursuant to the Rules of this Court.

Your papers are herewith returned.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By: 
Emily Walker
(202) 479-5955

Enclosures

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WASHINGTON DC.
A SUB AGENCY OF THE UNITED STATES INC. (DUNS 052714196).
WITH AN ACTING CEO THE HON. JOHN ROBERTS.

In the matter of George McDermott
Petitioner

Vs.


Kansas Associates et al.
the American Bar Association
And the DOJ plus FBI et al.

* Case number 10 – 10236
* Jury trial demand denied by all courts
*
* Related cases US COA Fed circuit
* Case # 26-1526 from the US COFC –
* DC 1:25-cv-1398 +
* US COA-DC Cir. case # 25 – 5411 and
* 25-5096 from USDC- DC-case #25- 2222
* And originating case 98-cv-1235 (TPJ).

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO REOPEN ABOVE CAPTIONED CASE 10 – 10 236 BASED ON
NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE UNDER FRCP RULE 60 (B) ET SEQ. AS CASE WAS
ILLEGALLY DISMISSED AND UNSIGNED UNVERIFIABLE ORDER AND NONCOMPLIANCE
WITH COURT RULES AND PROCEDURES AS IT IS AND ALL LOWER COURTS HAVE FAILED
TO STATE THEIR LEGAL JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY THE UNITED STATES INC.
(DUNS 052714196). ADDRESSED TO CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN ROBERTS AND THE AS THE
CURRENT CEO OF THE SUB CORPORATION OF THE DOJ UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
COURTS US: 23-7420574. 28 USC §§ 1603.

Now comes George McDermott hereafter petitioner through the motion practice of this
court on a motion to reopen Case number 10 – 10236 based on newly discovered evidence of the
courts noncompliant action of the court clerks and deputy clerk's use of unsigned unverifiable
orders resulting in 16 years of deprivation of rights and property to the petitioner acting as an
unskilled pro se litigant under duress trying to save his business and properties. Wrongfully seized
by the corporate courts and their agents listed in the above-captioned case heading. Worrying court
agents employed deceit, deception, fraud, and obstruction of justice by falsification of the court
docket, failure to provide a list of offices of the alleged corporate judicial officers, failure to provide
the required and judicial bonds of these corporate judicial officers under supervision and control of
Chief Judge John Roberts. Who is repeatedly notified of these injustices.

1. This reckless disrespect for the rule of law i
chronicled in the tens of thousands of motion pages



10M10512798

United States Supreme Court

Requester:

Clerks Office

Order:

George McDermott

Printed:

5/12/2026 10:35:49 AM | 606



Page 1



UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

IN RE: LINDA A. NASH
Petitioner

**On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the
United State Supreme Court
Case No. 1:23-cv-03134-CJN. United States District Court Judge Carl Nichols**

**GEORGE E MCDERMOTT AS THE OFFICIAL SERVICER FOR LINDA NASH NATURAL HUMAN BEINGS
NOT A CORPORATE FICTION WHOSE MOTION PAPER IS ATTACHED CAPTIONED MOTION TO
COMPEL PRODUCTION OF COURT ORDERS, FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, AND FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE FOR A REHEARING PENDING PROPER SERVICE.]**

Now comes George McDermott as a formal candidate for United States Congress
Maryland's 4th Congressional District 2026 as of 06/23/2026 and as a fellow victim of this court's
clerk's offices this conduct and failure to provide honest services to our nation's citizens. Under
FRCP rules 71 – 81. Filing this day Linda a Nash's motion paper being hand-delivered to Supreme
Court security station in which a video is being made up of proof of filing. To be posted @
<https://www.youtube.com/@George4Justice> for future reference and record. [ATT 1] 5 pages

1. Additionally, George McDermott is returning to the court they purported unsigned order of
Emily Walker agent for Scott S Harris Clerk. A may for 15th 2026 Along with date stamped front
page of motion paper which was returned on May 14, 2026 which is void for nonconformity with
the court rules statutes and regulations. A more formal response to George McDermott's most
recent denial will be filed on July 4, 2026. At the night drop box station. This is a formal notice that
the clerks of this court will be sued under 28 USC 1603 – 11 corporate statutes; [ATT-1-3].

Respectfully submitted

George Everett McDermott